## RESULTS FROM ISLAND-WIDE WATER MONITORING DURING THE SUMMER OF 2019 TROPHIC STATE INDEX (TSI) ANALYSIS: 2019

ABRIDGED 8 March 2020

R. A. Smith

4 February 2020

LES CHENEAUX WATERSHED COUNCIL



## TSI ANALYSIS: 2019 4 February 2020

**Summary:** Ratings of 2019 water samples fell within expected trophic (nutrient) ranges for inner and outer island zones of the Les Cheneaux Islands. Analytical values for all test sites were within the range of samples quantified at the Univ Michigan Biological Station (UMBS) during the previous six seasons. The relation of three key variables: Total Phosphorus, Soluble Reactive Phosphorus and Chlorophyll-a were as expected and Les Cheneaux waters remain of a highly desirable quality for recreational use.

**Results and Discussion:** Variables quantified for Les Cheneaux water samples were: Total Phosphorus (TP), Soluble Reactive Phosphorus (SRP) and Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a). SRP is a component of TP and is, therefore, expected to be a value less than TP although, in some situations, TP and SRP values have been found to be about equal in concentration. SRP can be readily used by phytoplankton whereas TP must undergo a conversion before being of use as a nutrient by phytoplankton. Chlorophyll-a is used as an indirect measure of phytoplankton concentration and is derived by solvent extraction followed by photometrically measuring the chlorophyll-a species from phytoplankton samples. Since SRP is a primary phytoplankton nutrient, as Chl-a values increase the SRP is metabolized and, therefore, expected to decrease. Conversely, as Chl-a levels decrease the SRP concentration typically increases. Past annual surveys have shown the expected relationship of these variables in that TP was in greater concentration than SRP and Chl-a was recovered in lower concentration than TP and SRP.

Averaged seasonal values for all sample sites are shown for TP, SRP and Chl-a in Fig. 1 and were calculated based on data in Table 1 (App). Fig. 1A is a standard plot and indicates the highest TP was observed at sites 4, 4a, 4c and 5. Concentrations of both SRP and Chl-a were also highest at these inner island sites. To better illustrate differences in Chl-a levels during the season the same data are shown in a log plot in Fig. 1B. These curves show that the trophic, or nutrient, level observed for all sites during the 2019 season were ranked within the oligotrophic range using the Carlson index (Fig.7). Waters ranked in this range are highly desirable quality for recreational use.

When TP levels for individual sample sites are considered, TP was maximum in May, June and July for all stations (Fig. 2). SRP was at maximum in May at eight sites and in July at 4 sites. Bimodal maxima were observed at sites five and nine.

SRP was present at higher levels than Chl-a at all sample sites (Fig.3) as is the norm. The greatest demand on SRP by phytoplankton was at sites 4b, 4c and 5 as shown by the lower differential between the respective curves at those sites. Peak SRP for all sites was May, suggesting that the demand for phosphorus by phytoplankton was lowest during that period.

Seasonal 2019 temperatures ranged from an average of 10.5 C (51 F) to 16.5 C (62 F), again, reflecting the inner and outer island zones (Fig. 4, 5). The lowest temperature observed was 7 C (45 F) and the highest 19 C (66 F). Plateau temps for June and July at sites 5,6 and 7 reflect their position on a west-east axis and the influence of prevailing westerlies.

Water clarity remained high to a point that the Secchi disk could be read when lying on the bottom at sites 2, 4a,8 and 9 where the depth ranged from 10ft to 45ft (Fig. 6). Water was clearest at all sites in June which correlates with low phytoplankton densities (low Chl-a values) during the same month.

## Appendix.

Table 1. 2019 raw data analysis by
Figure 1. Averaged seasonal values to TP, SRP and Chl-a
Figure 2. Plot of Total phosphorus vs Soluble Reactive Phosphorus
Figure 3. Plot of SRP vs Chl-a
Figure 4. Temperature curves for each sample site
Figure 5. Averaged seasonal temperatures
Figure 6. Water clarity values

		<1510/	4120190101	12019UMBS RAW 091219 SHT2> C4 SHT			F3/5		
							mcg/L	mcg/L	mcg/L
SITE	MONTH	SAMP DT	TEMP F	TEMP C	SECCHI FT	втм	Chl-a	PO4-P	TP
1	MAY	6-Jun	45	7	30			3.00	6.40
	JUN	2-Jul	60	15.5	27	36		6.88	
	JUL	31-Jul	59	15	33				
	AUG	5-Sep	53	11.5	36	36	0.334	<1	4.44
2	MAY	6-Jun	50	10	19	19	0.239	3.25	3.38
	JUN	2-Jul	63	17	19.5	19.5	0.405	2.52	4.37
	JUL	31-Jul	61	16	19.5	19.5	0.438	2.69	3.92
	AUG	5-Sep	55	13	19	19	0.194	2.00	<2
3	MAY	6-Jun	53	11.5	7	11.5	0.924	3.90	4.75
	JUN	2-Jul	64	18	13.5	13.5	0.563	3.87	17.18
	JUL	31-Jul	63	17	12	12	0.409		<2
	AUG	5-Sep	58	14.5	13	13			
4	MAY	6-Jun	52	11	10			5.02	
	JUN	2-Jul	65	18.5	14			2.98	
	JUL	31-Jul	63	17	16		0.741	8.78	
	AUG	5-Sep	59		14			4.88	
4A	MAY	6-Jun	53	11.5	11	11	1.450		
	JUN	2-Jul	65	18.5	12	12	0.624	2.89	
	JUL	31-Jul	62	16.5	12	12	0.660		
	AUG	5-Sep	59	15	12	12	0.527	1.96	
4B	MAY	6-Jun	54		10.5	12.5			
	JUN	2-Jul	66		10		0.677	3.38	
	JUL	31-Jul	64	17.5	12	12	1.090		4.19
	AUG	5-Sep	59	15	12	12	0.466		5.64
4C	MAY	6-Jun	54	12	7			5.73	
	JUN	2-Jul	66		9				
	JUL	31-Jul	64	18	9				
	AUG	5-Sep	61 53	16 11.5	9				
5	MAY JUN	6-Jun							
	JUL	2-Jul	66						
	AUG	31-Jul	66 31						
	MAY	5-Sep 6-Jun	50						
0	JUN	2-Jul	63						
	JUL	31-Jul	63	17	12				
7	AUG	5-Sep	52	11	22				
	MAY	6-Jun	47	8.5	30				
	JUN	2-Jul	59		18				
	JUL	31-Jul	59		22				
	AUG	5-Sep	52		28				
8	MAY	6-Jun	45						
	JUN	2-Jul	57						42.46
	JUL	31-Jul	54						
	AUG	5-Sep							<2
9	MAY	6-Jun	45						
	JUN	2-Jul	58		19				
	JUL	31-Jul	53						
	AUG	5-Sep	48						



